

The Polluted Project Aquarium: Management Governance and Psychosocial Risks Aligned with the PMBOK® Guide (Eighth Edition)

Prof. João Carlos Boyadjian, PMP
Dr. Tassiane Boreli Pinato (coauthor)

Abstract

Historically, success in project management was confined to the dimensions of scope, time, and cost. However, the complexity of contemporary organizational environments and regulatory updates call for an expansion of the classical governance model. This article analyzes the impact of psychosocial factors - focusing on burnout, disengagement, and the absence of psychological safety - as critical portfolio risks. It also establishes a management governance framework integrating active monitoring and compliance with Brazil's Regulatory Standard No. 1 (NR-1) into the life-cycle phases proposed by the PMBOK® Guide (Eighth Edition).

Keywords: Project Governance; PMBOK® Guide, Eighth Edition; Psychosocial Risks; NR-1; Psychological Safety.

Introduction

High-performance project management became established through statistical earned value analysis, critical paths, and the control of technical risks. However, a regulatory and behavioral transformation has reshaped the boundaries of corporate governance. The collapse of human capital emerges as the principal threat to the predictability and sustainability of projects.

Modern governance can no longer treat psychosocial risks as intangible externalities or secondary support issues. Dysfunctional environments produce systemic operational failures, increasing legal, administrative, and financial liabilities for organizations.

1. The Diagnosis: Burnout as an Environmental Dysfunction

Coined in a clinical context by Herbert Freudenberger in 1974, the term burnout describes a state of physical and mental exhaustion resulting from chronic workplace stress. Subsequently, Christina Maslach's research structured the phenomenon into three dimensions: (1) Emotional Exhaustion: complete depletion of energy; (2) Depersonalization: a cynical attitude and emotional distancing from deliverables and teams; and (3) Reduced Personal Accomplishment: a chronic sense of ineffectiveness and incompetence.

Maslach's most disruptive contribution (1980) lies in shifting the causal focus: burnout is not an intrinsic vulnerability or a lack of individual resilience, but rather a pathological response to an unhealthy organizational structure. Using the author's analogy, "there is no point in cleaning the fish without treating the polluted aquarium in which it lives." In project management, the polluted aquarium takes the form of unrealistic schedules, poorly defined scopes, and oppressive targets imposed without technically grounded resource sizing.

2. The Compliance Landscape: The Legal Implications of NR-1

What previously fell within the realm of corporate discretion has become a binding legal obligation in Brazil. Ministry of Labor and Employment Ordinance No. 1,419/2024 revised Regulatory Standard No. 1 (NR-1), making the explicit inclusion of psychosocial risks (chronic stress, mental overload, and harassment) mandatory in the Risk Management Program (PGR) of all organizations governed by Brazil's Consolidation of Labor Laws (CLT).

Corporate governance is now required to actively audit, mitigate, and document the impacts of the management model on employees' mental health. The risk inventory resulting from this assessment must be retained by companies for a minimum of 20 years. Neglecting these indicators exposes the executive committee to severe administrative penalties from the Labor Inspectorate, while also increasing potential employment-related compensation liabilities for nonpecuniary and civil damages.

3. Value Destruction: The Financial Impact of Disengagement

The deterioration of the psychosocial environment causes direct economic losses. Data from the Engaja S/A survey (FGV EAESP / Flash) indicate that engagement among Brazilian workers has reached the lowest level in its historical series: only 39%. The remaining 61% are actively disengaged or in a state of quiet quitting.

This indicator translates into estimated losses of R\$77 billion annually to the Brazilian economy, divided into two impact drivers affecting projects' Budget at Completion (BAC): (1) Chronic Turnover: the loss of professionals holding critical knowledge increases recruitment and selection costs, destroys the learning curve, and interrupts the pace of delivery; and (2) Presenteeism: professionals who are physically present but cognitively unable to function because of a lack of intrinsic motivation, wasting one to two hours of productive work daily.

4. Integrated Framework: Connecting Human Factors to the PMBOK® Guide (Eighth Edition) Life Cycle

To reverse value loss and ensure regulatory compliance, mental health and psychosocial factors must be incorporated into the five focus areas of the PMBOK® Guide (Eighth Edition) through active management governance.

Mapping the Psychosocial Governance Framework (PMBOK 8)

FOCUS AREA / CYCLE	PRINCIPLE & DOMAIN (PMBOK 8)	MANAGEMENT GOVERNANCE GUIDELINE
A. Initiating	• Adopt a Holistic View • Domain: Stakeholders	Statistical mapping of the team's actual net capacity against scope demands. Documentation of psychosocial risks from the project charter onward to mitigate structural anxiety.
B. Planning	• Integrate Sustainability • Focus on Value • Domains: Schedule, Resources, and Risk	Abandonment of the 100% linear efficiency metric. Sizing of cognitive recovery buffers and formal inclusion of the risk of personnel collapse in the PGR (NR-1).
C. Executing	• Be an Accountable Leader • Empowered Culture • Domain: Resources	Active development of psychological safety (Amy Edmondson). Protection against retaliation in cases of technical failures and predictive monitoring of working hours using metadata (Viva Insights).
D. Monitoring & Controlling	• Embed Quality • Domain: Governance	Development of hybrid reports. Analytical cross-referencing of engineering indicators (EVM: SPI/CPI) with health indicators (absenteeism and excessive overtime).
E. Closing	• Focus on Value • Integration of Domains	Lessons-learned sessions centered on the human factor. Application of the Progress Principle (Amabile) through small wins and a final audit of the psychosocial balance (credit vs. debit).

Conclusion

Modern project management requires moving beyond a reductionist approach that considers schedules and costs in isolation. Mapping psychosocial risks, protecting psychological safety, and statistically monitoring cognitive workload are not deviations from the executive scope; they are the very core of portfolio governance. Cleaning the corporate aquarium supports full compliance with NR-1 and serves as the smartest business strategy for ensuring financial longevity, innovation, and the success of any project.